```
k9a2JohC kjc
1
      UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
      SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 2
3
     UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
                                              New York, N.Y.
 4
                                              15 Cr. 95 (AJN)
                 V.
5
      SHAQUILLE JOHN,
6
                     Defendant.
            ----x
 7
                                              Teleconference
 8
                                              Violation of
                                               Supervised Release
9
                                               September 10, 2020
                                               11:10 a.m.
10
11
      Before:
12
                          HON. ALISON J. NATHAN,
13
                                              District Judge
14
15
16
                                APPEARANCES
17
      AUDREY STRAUSS
18
          Acting United States Attorney for
          the Southern District of New York
19
     BY: ALLISON C. NICHOLS
          Assistant United States Attorney
20
21
      STEVEN L. BROUNSTEIN
          Attorney for Defendant
22
23
24
     Also Present:
25
     Lauren Blackford, USPO SDNY
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(Case called)

THE DEPUTY CLERK: This is a reminder that this is a public proceeding. Members of the public and press are able to access the proceeding through a public dial-in number. All participants are reminded that any recording and rebroadcasting of this proceeding is strictly prohibited.

Counsel, please state your name for the record, starting with the government.

MS. NICHOLS: Allison Nichols for the government. Good morning, your Honor.

THE COURT: Good morning, Ms. Nichols.

And for the defendant.

MR. BROUNSTEIN: Steven Brounstein for Mr. John. Good morning, as well.

THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Brounstein; and good morning, Mr. John. Can you hear me okay?

THE DEFENDANT: Good morning, Ms. Nathan. Good morning, your Honor. Sorry about that.

THE COURT: Good morning. That's okay. Can you hear me okay, Mr. John?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I could hear you completely fine, Ms. Nathan, Judge, your Honor. Sorry about that again.

THE COURT: That's fine. No worry.

And we have Probation Officer Blackford on the line?

THE PROBATION OFFICER: Yes. Good morning, your

k9a2JohC kjc 1 Honor. 2 THE COURT: Good morning, Ms. Blackford. Thank you. And we have the court reporter on the line, as well? 3 4 THE COURT REPORTER: Yes. Good morning, Judge. This 5 is Kristen Carannante. 6 THE COURT: Thank you, Kristen. Good morning to you, 7 as well. 8 All right. We are here for a status conference in this matter regarding allegations of violations of supervised 9 10 release. As a preliminary matter, we are still dealing with 11 the COVID-19 pandemic. I am conducting this proceeding 12 remotely pursuant to the authority provided by Section 15002 of 13 the CARES Act and the standing orders issued by our chief judge 14 pursuant to that act. 15 I am proceeding by telephone conference, and Mr. John has confirmed that he is able to hear me. 16 17 Mr. John, if at any point during the proceeding you 18 have difficulty hearing anyone or if you would like to speak 19 privately to your counsel, just let me know and we will address 20 that before proceeding any further. Okay? 21 THE DEFENDANT: Can I may I have a word with my 22 counsel, please?

ask Ms. Williams, are you able to move Mr. John and

THE COURT: Sure. All right. So we need to -- let me

Mr. Brounstein into a private audio room?

23

24

25

k9a2JohC kjc 1 THE DEPUTY CLERK: No, Judge. 2 THE COURT: So you can do that on the website interface if you have got the numbers of everyone calling. 3 4 (Pause) 5 THE COURT: If we can't ready do that, I will ask 6 Mr. Brounstein -- we can, but we will have to learn how to do 7 that. 8 Mr. Brounstein, I will ask you and Mr. John to hang up, we will pause the proceeding, and you call him separately 9 10 so that you can speak privately, and then --11 MR. BROUNSTEIN: Of course, your Honor. Shaquille, 12 can I have your number you are at now? 13 THE DEFENDANT: I'm not sure -- I'm not sure -- I'm not -- honestly, I'm not really sure of the number. It's a new 14 15 phone. 16 MR. BROUNSTEIN: Oh. Then can you just call me on my 17 mobile phone, and I can pause this, Judge. I'm on my landline. 18 THE COURT: Okay. So, Mr. Brounstein, you will pause 19 and take the call on a separate line. 20 Mr. John, you will hang up from this call and call 21 Mr. Brounstein on his cell phone, and then when you are done 22 speaking to him, you will re-call in to the conference. 23 Mr. John, do you have Mr. Brounstein's cell phone?

THE COURT: All right. So we will pause and wait for

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do, your Honor.

24

25

```
k9a2JohC kjc
     Mr. Brounstein and Mr. John to return to the call.
1
 2
               MR. BROUNSTEIN: And I will let you know when we are
 3
      done, your Honor. Thank you.
 4
               THE DEFENDANT: All right. Thank you.
 5
               (Recess)
6
               MR. BROUNSTEIN: Hi. We are through. Mr. John is
 7
      calling back in.
8
               THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Brounstein. We
9
      will --
               MR. BROUNSTEIN: Thank you, your Honor, for the time.
10
11
               THE COURT: Of course.
12
               (Pause)
13
               THE COURT: Mr. John?
14
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
15
               THE COURT: And we have Mr. Brounstein as well, so we
16
      can proceed.
17
               So, as indicated, we do have the ability to pause for
18
      to you speak privately with defense counsel should you need to
19
      at any other point, Mr. John.
20
               And, Mr. John, do let me know if you have any trouble
21
      hearing anyone on the line. Okay, sir?
22
               THE DEFENDANT: All right. No problem, your Honor.
```

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

you -- we don't have a signed waiver of physical presence form,

but I understand from your letter that you have discussed the

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Brounstein, I believe that

23

24

25

issue with Mr. John. Could you please just recount for the court the ability you had to discuss with Mr. John --

MR. BROUNSTEIN: Absolutely, your Honor.

THE COURT: -- his right and your understanding of his waiver?

MR. BROUNSTEIN: Yes, your Honor.

I did speak to Mr. John as late as yesterday, indicating to him that he had a right to be present and do this hearing physically in front of the court but, given the COVID-19 crisis, that doing that would be extremely difficult. I indicated to him that we wanted to proceed via telephone and that he consented to allowing the court and all of us to do that. He understands what his rights are, and he is willing to waive his physical appearance to conduct this hearing via telephone, as we did in the last one.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Brounstein.
Mr. John, is what Mr. Brounstein indicated correct,
sir?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

Then, counsel, I am prepared to find that the defendant has knowingly and voluntarily waived his right to appear physically for this proceeding and that we can instead today proceed by phone conference.

Ms. Nichols, any objection to that finding?

21

22

23

24

25

1 MS. NICHOLS: No, your Honor. Thank you. 2 THE COURT: Mr. Brounstein, any objection? 3 MR. BROUNSTEIN: No, your Honor. 4 THE COURT: Additionally, I do conclude that today's 5 proceeding cannot be further delayed without serious harm to 6 the interests of justice, as it is necessary -- we have delayed 7 the proceeding a number of times, and it is necessary to ensure that violation of supervised release cases are moving forward, 8 9 both so that the court's backlog does not become unmanageable 10 and to ensure for the safety of the community, as well as other 11 interests that these matters are addressed and put on an 12 appropriate schedule for resolution. 13 Ms. Nichols, any objection to that finding? 14 MS. NICHOLS: No, your Honor. 15 THE COURT: Mr. Brounstein? 16 MR. BROUNSTEIN: No, your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Okay. With that, Ms. Nichols, may I ask 18 if you would provide a summary and status update as to where we 19 are and, to the extent that you have a proposal for how to 20 proceed, I am happy to hear it.

MS. NICHOLS: Thank you, your Honor.

Unfortunately, the status update that I have for the court today indicates that there has been no progress since our last conference a little over one month ago.

Just to recap what we had all discussed at the

conclusion of that conference and what your Honor had ordered is that Mr. John would report to drug testing as directed by the probation officer, and that he would provide the necessary employment verification, and that he would participate in outpatient drug program as approved by the probation officer.

It is my understanding that Mr. John has done none of those things and, in fact, has not been in touch with his probation officer for the entirety of the last 35 days. And so while I am glad that Mr. John has joined us today for the scheduled conference, it does appear to the government that, for the intervening period, he has as good as absconded from his supervision.

And so the way that I thought that we had left things, I thought that the Court's instruction to Mr. John were clear, I thought that Mr. John has received very explicit instructions from Officer Blackford about the need to be in touch and not make her chase him down. Unfortunately, we did not see any difference in his behavior and amenability to supervision in the intervening period.

What I had understood from my conversations with Mr. Brounstein and with Officer Blackford, I mean, I think — you know, everyone will correct me if I have any of this wrong, but I think the three of us are on the same page that we would like to reach a resolution in this matter so that all of us and Mr. John can move forward.

It doesn't seem like he is interested in working proactively with the probation office and the resources that they have available and can make available to him in terms of drug treatment and help with employment placement; and, so, in light of that, it does not seem to be an appropriate or effective use of resources to have Officer Blackford continuing to attempt to chase him down.

And what I understood from Mr. Brounstein is that, in the intervening months, he and his client would be having productive discussions about whether Mr. John would want to resolve the matter by admitting to one or more of the specified violations in the violation report, and I understand that he hasn't had a chance to have those meaningful conversations, which are important and shouldn't be rushed, by any means. But, unfortunately, it appears that nothing has changed since we were all on a conference in August.

And just to be clear, I don't fault Mr. Brounstein for that at all. He shouldn't have to chase down Mr. John, either. He shouldn't have to call him and not get calls back and call his mother in order to get in touch with him.

So from the government's perspective, your Honor, it doesn't feel as though the adjournments are helpful or productive or are resulting in any moving the ball forward at all, and so I think that is something that perhaps additional guidance from the Court might help us see a path forward that's

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

more productive.

I know that Mr. Brounstein and I talked yesterday about the need to resolve this and the fact that, if Mr. John is not prepared to admit to the specs, we need to have a hearing. But just to be clear, your Honor, I need more than one day's notice if we are going to have a hearing. So if we set a date, I think at some point there needs to be clarity as to whether Mr. John is in fact going to admit at the next conference or whether he is not, so that the government can make the necessary disclosures that would have to come in advance of any hearing and that the Court can budget appropriate time and make appropriate determinations about whether that should be held telephonically, by video, or in person.

So while I understand that Mr. Brounstein intends to ask for an adjournment and we understand, as I said, the need for that, given that he hasn't had a chance to have these fulsome conversations with his client, the next date -- it can't just be a date that's, like, maybe a hearing and maybe a plea, with no clarity in the intervening weeks about which it would be.

So we are not asking for a bench warrant for Mr. John's arrest, although I think, given his noncompliance, that would be appropriate, but in light of the nature of the violations here, the government is take the position that

further leniency is appropriate.

But we do think that, at some point, these violations, which have been pending since January, do need to be resolved.

THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Nichols.

Go ahead, Mr. Brounstein.

MR. BROUNSTEIN: Your Honor, I agree with some of what Ms. Nichols said.

One of the reasons why I haven't had that meaningful conversation with my client about resolving this matter is because of the fact of, quite frankly, Judge, of COVID. I have not — I have met, in the past six months, I think, with two meetings with clients totally. I have been extremely cautious about this. I'm in good health, but I'm not a youngster, and I have kept away from a lot of people to try to remain healthy.

In furtherance of that in trying to resolve this case, I have now scheduled a meeting with Mr. John and his mother in my office tomorrow morning, and I hope to resolve this case.

And I think what we should do, if I can make a recommendation, your Honor, is we set this down for a hearing date, and I will certainly contact the court, the government, and probation about whether we are going to go forward with a hearing or resolve this well before that date, well before that date. I understand the government needs to prepare and get witnesses and get discovery.

I think that, after I meet personally with Mr. John, I

k9a2JohC kjc think I can give the Court and everybody else further guidance 1 2 of where we are going. I just --3 (Indiscernible crosstalk) 4 THE COURT: When is --5 MR. BROUNSTEIN: -- am loathe to have somebody plead quilty to anything without me having a personal conversation 6 7 with him. 8 THE COURT: When is your meeting with Mr. John? 9 THE DEFENDANT: Tomorrow, your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Mr. Brounstein, you are meeting tomorrow? 11 MR. BROUNSTEIN: That's my intention, your Honor, to 12 meet them in my office tomorrow morning and, again, that's 13 something that I have not been doing. But given the length of 14 time here, and I think that this matter needs to be resolved, 15 I'm willing to do that. 16 THE COURT: Let me just pause for a moment. I heard a 17 beep. Let me confirm that we have -- Mr. John, are you still on the line? 18 19 MR. BROUNSTEIN: Maybe his mother is coming in. 20 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I'm still on the line, your 21 Honor. 22

MR. BROUNSTEIN: I asked his mother to listen to this.

THE COURT: Mr. Brounstein, I do a lot of these.

phone works --

23

24

25

MR. BROUNSTEIN: Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: -- well during COVID. I'm going to confirm on the record that we have all of our participants.

We obviously have Mr. Brounstein. Mr. John indicated he is still here.

Ms. Nichols, you are still here?

MS. NICHOLS: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

And we still have our court reporter?

THE COURT REPORTER: Yes, Judge.

THE COURT: Thank you.

And Officer Blackford?

THE PROBATION OFFICER: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you very much.

Here is what we are going to do. I am going to require a status letter in two weeks' time. If we are nowhere different than we are now, I am going to set this for a hearing and we will go forward with the hearing in the absence of a plea to the specifications.

I think it is clear that to go forward with a hearing will require tremendous resources on everybody's part, so if we are going to put that in place, it is with certainty that that is with everyone's intention to go forward with the hearing.

We cannot go on indefinitely the way that we have.

we cannot go on indefinitely the way that we have.

Mr. John, I have said this before, but the Court entered a judgment against you which included terms of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

supervised release. These are not optional. The probation officer, I know, is working very hard to work with you, to be flexible, but to make sure that you are in compliance, and you are not. And failure to comply with the terms that I myself set for your supervised release, if that is the case, proven at a hearing, is subject to punishment.

So it is now time to put this case on a clear path and schedule for resolution, and it is time for you to work with Mr. Brounstein and to work with your probation officer. But we will get to resolution of these allegations one way or the other. Continued failure to comply between now and then will only make matters worse and could potentially result in the probation department and the government seeking a warrant for your arrest and detention pending resolution of these specifications. Nobody here wants to do that. I recognize that there are all kinds of circumstances, including the nature of the allegations as well as the conditions in prison as a result of the COVID pandemic. Nobody wants to see you incarcerated. But the court sets orders and sets judgments, and those rules have to be complied with. So I think we have been patient, we have tried to work through this with adjournments, but it is now time to put this on a different path.

Ms. Nichols, reaction to my proposal for a status letter in two weeks indicating if we have made any progress or

if the parties are in agreement as to resolution? In the absence of that, the Court will set date for a hearing.

MS. NICHOLS: That makes sense to me. Thank you, your Honor.

MR. BROUNSTEIN: Judge, it makes sense to me as well.

THE COURT: All right. So two weeks from today I will take a joint letter from the parties. If the indication is that we are just in the same place, I will look into the availability of courtrooms for an in-person hearing on the violations of supervised release and propose dates to the parties. It won't be far out. It will be sometime in this fall.

And in the meantime, Mr. John, I think I have been clear, I know I have been clear, you have got to work with Mr. Brounstein and you have got to work with Probation Officer Blackford. Okay, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. I'm -- your Honor,

I'm -- tomorrow I'm really gonna sit down with Steve and really

let Steve know what's going on with me, as he mentioned it, so

we, like, all --

THE COURT: All right.

THE DEFENDANT: -- know -- you understand what I'm saying, your Honor? I'm really sorry if I haven't been -- always look shabby in your eyes, too.

THE COURT: Okay. I hear you, Mr. John. That is why

```
Mr. Brounstein is looking out for your interest. Probation
1
2
      Officer Blackford wants to make this work for you and be
3
     helpful to you. I do, the government does, so we are on the
      same page here. We need you to take these steps and work with
 4
5
     Mr. Brounstein.
6
               With that, Ms. Nichols, anything further?
 7
               MS. NICHOLS: No. Thank you, your Honor.
8
               THE COURT: Mr. Brounstein?
9
              MR. BROUNSTEIN: Nothing, your Honor. Everybody stay
10
     healthy, please.
11
               THE COURT: You, too. Thanks everyone. We are
12
      adjourned.
13
               THE DEFENDANT: All right. Thank you, your Honor.
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```